Stochastic Structural Dynamics

Lecture-25

Markov Vector Approach-5

Monte Carlo simulation approach-1

Dr C S ManoharDepartment of Civil Engineering Professor of Structural Engineering Indian Institute of ScienceBangalore 560 012 India

manohar@civil.iisc.ernet.in

Summary:
$$
p = p(\tilde{x}; t | \tilde{x}_0; t_0)
$$

\n
$$
dX(t) = f[t, X(t)]dt + G[t, X(t)]dB(t); t \ge 0; X(0) = X_0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [f_j(x, t) p] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} [(GDG^t)_{ij} p]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dt} \langle h[X(t), t] \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^n \langle f_j(X, t) \frac{\partial h}{\partial X_j} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle (GDG^t)_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\langle X_i^m(t) X_i^m(t_0) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_i^m v(x_1, x_2; t, t_0) dx_1 dx_2
$$
\n
$$
v(x_1, x_2; t, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_1^n p(x_1, x_2; t, t_0 | \eta_1, \eta_2; t, t_0) p(\eta_1, \eta_2; t, t_0) d\eta_1 d\eta_2
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [f_j(x, t) v] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} [(GDG^t)_{ij} v]
$$
\n**PLUS : RELEVANT BCS & ICS**

Summary (Continued):
\n
$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t_0} = -\sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left[t_0, \tilde{x}_0 \right] \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{0j}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[GDG^t \left(t_0, \tilde{x}_0 \right) \right]_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_{0i} \partial x_{0j}}
$$
\n
$$
R(t, \Gamma; t_0, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} p(\tilde{x}; t | \tilde{x}_0; t_0) d\tilde{x} = P \left[T > t - t_0 | X(t_0) = \tilde{x}_0 \right]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial R}{\partial t_0} = \left[-\sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left[t_0, \tilde{x}_0 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0j}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[GDG^t \left(t_0, \tilde{x}_0 \right) \right]_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{0i} \partial x_{0j}} \right] R = LR
$$
\n
$$
(n+1) M_n + LM_{n+1} = 0; n = 1, 2, \dots
$$
\n
$$
M_n \in C \setminus \mathbb{R}
$$
\n
$$
PLUS : RELEVANT BCS & ICS
$$

Illustration of deterministic averaging procedure
\n
$$
\ddot{u} + \omega_0^2 u = \varepsilon f(u, \dot{u}); t \ge 0; u(0) \& u(0) \text{ specified.}
$$
\n
$$
u(t) = a(t) \cos \left[\omega_0 t + \beta(t)\right]
$$
\n
$$
\dot{u}(t) = -a(t) \omega_0 \sin \left[\omega_0 t + \beta(t)\right]
$$
\n
$$
\dot{a}(t) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\omega_0} \sin \phi(t) f\left[a(t) \cos \phi(t), -a(t) \omega_0 \sin \phi(t)\right]
$$
\n
$$
\dot{\beta}(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega_0 a(t)} \cos \phi(t) f\left[a(t) \cos \phi(t), -a(t) \omega_0 \sin \phi(t)\right]
$$
\n
$$
\dot{a}(t) \approx -\frac{\varepsilon}{\omega_0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \phi f\left[a \cos \phi, -a\omega_0 \sin \phi\right] d\phi
$$
\n
$$
\dot{\beta}(t) \approx -\frac{\varepsilon}{\omega_0 a} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \phi f\left[a \cos \phi, -a\omega_0 \sin \phi\right] d\phi
$$

 $\overline{4}$

Extension to randomly driven systems

$$
\ddot{x} + \varepsilon^2 h(x, \dot{x}) + \omega_0^2 x = \varepsilon z(t)
$$

$$
\langle z(t) \rangle = 0; \langle z(t) z(t + \tau) \rangle = R_{zz}(\tau) \Leftrightarrow S(\omega)
$$

 $z(t)$ is taken to be broad banded.

Characteristic time constant of excitation>>

charateristic time constant of the system

• Time duration over which $R_{zz}(\tau)$ decays to 10% of $\chi_{zz}(0)$ \gg Time duration over which the impulse response of the system decays by 90%. R_{zz} (0) \gg

 $\bullet \varepsilon$ is small parameter.

$$
\varepsilon = 0 \Rightarrow x(t) = a\cos(\omega_0 t + \phi) = a\cos\Phi \text{ No ex}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\varepsilon=0 &\Rightarrow \\
x(t) = a\cos(\omega_0 t + \phi) = a\cos\Phi; \Phi = \omega_0 t + \phi \\
\dot{x}(t) = -a\omega_0\sin(\omega_0 t + \phi) = -a\omega_0\sin\Phi \\
\varepsilon \neq 0 &\Rightarrow \\
x(t) = a(t)\cos[\omega_0 t + \phi(t)] = a(t)\cos\Phi(t) \\
\dot{x}(t) = -a(t)\omega_0\sin[\omega_0 t + \phi(t)] = -a(t)\omega_0\sin\Phi(t) \\
\Rightarrow \\
\dot{a} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0}h[a\cos\Phi, -a\omega_0\sin\Phi]\sin\Phi - \frac{\varepsilon z(t)}{\omega_0}\sin\Phi \\
\dot{\phi} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\frac{a}{\omega_0}}h[a\cos\Phi, -a\omega_0\sin\Phi]\cos\Phi - \frac{\varepsilon z(t)}{\frac{a}{\omega_0}}\cos\Phi\n\end{aligned}
$$

 $\sqrt{6}$

Averaging

Two stage

 \circ Deterministic \Rightarrow Replace "regular" oscillatory terms by their time averages

 \circ Stochastic \Rightarrow Replace randomly fluctuating oscillatory terms by delta correlated proc esses

First stage follows the procedure used in deterministic averaging.

The second stage is based on the application of the

Stratonovich-Khasminiski theorem.

Stratonovich - Khasminiski theorem

Consider the equation of motion

$$
\dot{X} = \varepsilon^2 f[X, t] + \varepsilon g[X, t, Y(t)]; t \ge 0; X(0) \text{ specified.}
$$

 $\bullet \varepsilon$ =a small parameter

•
$$
X(t) \sim n \times 1
$$
 vector of response processes

•
$$
Y(t) \sim m \times 1
$$
 vector of random excitations

$$
E[Y(t)] = 0; Y(t) \text{ is broad banded.}
$$

 $dX(t) = \varepsilon m(X) dt + \sigma(X) dB(t)$ According to the Stratonovich-Khasminiski theorem the above equation can be approximated by a SDE

$$
dX(t) = \varepsilon \underline{m(X)} dt + \underline{\sigma(X)} dB(t)
$$
\n
$$
m = \Gamma^{av} E\{f\} + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E\left\{ \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial X}\right)_t (g^t)_{t+\tau} \right\} d\tau
$$
\n
$$
\underline{\sigma \sigma}^t = \Gamma^{av} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left\{ (g)_t (g^t)_{t+\tau} \right\} d\tau
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma^{av} \{ \bullet \} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + T} {\{ \bullet \} dt}
$$

Reference :

J B Roberts and P D Spanos, 1986, Stochastic

averaging: an approximate method of solving nonlinear

random vibration problems, Invited Review,

International Journal of Nonlinear Mechanics, 21(2),111-134.

9

$$
\mathcal{E}(\omega V)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\theta} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} h[a \cos \Phi, -a\omega_0 \sin \Phi] \sin \Phi - \frac{\varepsilon \varepsilon(t)}{\omega_0} \sin \Phi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\phi} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{a\omega_0} h[a \cos \Phi, -a\omega_0 \sin \Phi] \cos \Phi - \frac{\varepsilon \varepsilon(t)}{a\omega_0} \cos \Phi V
$$
\n
$$
\text{Average} \Rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
da(t) \approx -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) dt + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} dt + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2a\omega_0^2} d B_1(t)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\phi(t)}{d\phi(t)} \approx -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{a\omega_0} G(a) dt - \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a\omega_0} d B_2(t)
$$
\n
$$
F(a) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} h[a \cos \Phi, -a\omega_0 \sin \Phi] \sin \Phi d\Phi
$$
\n
$$
G(a) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} h[a \cos \Phi, -a\omega_0 \sin \Phi] \cos \Phi d\Phi
$$

$$
da(t) \approx -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) dt + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} dt - \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{\omega_0} \frac{1}{2} dB_1(t) \Bigg/
$$

\n
$$
d\phi(t) \approx -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{a\omega_0} G(a) dt - \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2} dB_2(t)
$$

\n
$$
\begin{cases}\na(t) \\
\phi(t)\n\end{cases}
$$
 is a Markov vector; **more interestingly** $\{a(t)\}\$ is Markov.

• Forward equation : transient and steady state solutions

11

- One and two time moment equations
- Backward equation
- Reliability function
- GPV equations

FFK equation governing
$$
p(a, \phi; t | a_0, \phi_0; t_0)
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{a\omega_0} G(a) \right\} p \right] + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial a^2} + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \phi^2} \right]
$$

Steady state

$$
0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{a\omega_0} G(a) \right\} p \right] + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial a^2} + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \phi^2} \right]
$$

$$
\frac{\text{FPK equation governing } p(a; t | a_0; t_0)}{\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p \right] + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial a^2}
$$
\nSteady state

\n
$$
-\frac{d}{da} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p \right] + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \frac{d^2 p}{da^2} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \frac{dp}{da} = 0}{\omega_0} = 0
$$
\n
$$
p(a) = Ca \exp \left\{ -\frac{2\varepsilon \omega_0}{\pi S(\omega_0)} \int_0^a F(s) \, ds \right\}; 0 < a < \infty
$$

Remarks
\n
$$
0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{a\omega_0} G(a) \right\} p \right] + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial a^2} + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \phi^2} \right] - \left\{ (a_1 \& |a_0| \& 0) \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\phi} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
0 = -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F(a) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p \right] + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial a^2} \left\{ -\frac{\rho(a_1)}{\rho(a_1)} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow p(a, \phi) = \frac{p(a_1)}{2\pi a} \left[0 < a < \infty; 0 < \phi < 2\pi
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow p(u, \dot{u}) = \frac{p(a_1)}{2\pi a} \right]_{a = \sqrt{x^2 + \left(\frac{x}{\omega_0^2} \right)}}; -\infty < u, \dot{u} < \infty
$$

Remarks (continued)

•The transient solution of

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[\left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\omega_0} F\left(a\right) + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2a\omega_0^2} \right\} p \right] + \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial a^2} \quad \phi \left(\alpha \dagger \dfrac{\alpha \dagger \alpha \dagger \partial \phi}{2\omega_0^2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
p(a;0 \mid a_0;0) = \delta(a - a_0)
$$

can be obtained by using eigenfunction method.

• Similar approximate solutions for first passage times can also be obtained.

The formulaiton can be generalized to deal with systems with random parametric excitation such as in

 $\left(u,\dot{u}\right)+\omega_{0}^{2}\left|\right.u+\varepsilon\varsigma\left(t\right)\left.\right|= \varepsilon\xi\left(t\right);t\geq0;u\left(0\right)\&\dot{u}\left(0\right)$ $\big(t\big) \&\, \xi\big(t\big)$ $2h(u,\dot{u}) + \omega_0^2 |u + \varepsilon \zeta(t)| = \varepsilon \xi(t); t \ge 0; u(0) \& u(0)$ specified. $\&\mathcal{E}(t):$ broad $u^{i} + \varepsilon^{2} h(u, u) + \omega_0^{2} | u + \varepsilon \zeta(t) | = \varepsilon \xi(t); t \ge 0; u(0) \& \dot{u}$ *t* χ $\&$ \leq \downarrow t $\mathcal{E}^2h(u, \dot{u}) + \omega_0^2|u + \varepsilon \zeta(t)| = \varepsilon \xi$ $\zeta(t)$ & ξ $\ddot{u} + \varepsilon^2 h(u, \dot{u}) + \omega_0^2 \left[u + \varepsilon \zeta(t) \right] = \varepsilon \xi(t); t \ge 0; u(0) \& \dot{u}$ band, zero mean random excitations

Special case
\n
$$
\vec{c}^2 h(x, \dot{x}) = 2\eta \omega_0 \dot{u}
$$
\n
$$
p(a) = \frac{a}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2\sigma^2}\right); 0 < a < \infty
$$
\n
$$
p(\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}; 0 < \phi < 2\pi
$$
\n
$$
\sigma^2 = \frac{\pi S(\omega_0)}{2\eta \omega_0^3} / \sqrt{\frac{(\omega_0 + \omega_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \sqrt{\frac{(\omega_0 + \omega_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \sigma^2}}
$$

Note: compare this with results on envelope and peak distribution obtained earlier.

Remarks (continued)

•The method can also be generalized to deal with systems with nonlinear stiffness:

$$
\ddot{u} + \varepsilon^2 h(u, \dot{u}) + \omega_0^2 \Lambda(u) \Big[1 + \varepsilon \zeta(t) \Big] = \varepsilon \xi(t)
$$

$$
t \ge 0; u(0) \& u(0) \text{ specified}
$$

The definition of the envelope here needs to be modified sutiably as

$$
\underline{V}(t) = \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \omega_0^2 \int_0^u \Lambda(s) ds
$$

The method leads to a Markov approximation to the process $V(t)$.

Summary

Method of stochastic averaging enables us to study envelope and phase processes associated with weakly nonlinear system response to broad band excitations. • The method also provides a framework to study first passage problems for the response envelope. • The method is best suited to the study of sdof systems

Monte Carlo Simulation Methods in Stochastic Structural Dynamics

Another perspective
\nConsider the problem of evaluation of the definite
\nintegral
$$
I = \int_a^b f(x) dx
$$
.
\nThis can be re-written as
\n
$$
I = (b-a) \int_a^b f(x) \left(\frac{1}{b-a}\right) dx = (b-a) \int_a^b f(x) p_X(x) dx
$$
\nwhere $p_X(x) = \left(\frac{1}{b-a}\right)$; $a < x < b$ is now interpreted
\nas the pdf of a random variable that is uniformly distributed in *a* to *b*.

Following this, the integral *I* is
now interpreted as an expectation

$$
I = (b-a)\langle f(X) \rangle
$$
 $\omega_1 \mathbf{t} + \gamma_x(\omega) \sim \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{a}, b)$
where the expectation is evaluated with respect
to $p_X(x)$. Furthermore, *I* is now approximated by

$$
\hat{I} = \frac{(b-a)}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(X_i)
$$
where X_i -s are uniformly distributed random numbers
samples from $p_X(x)$.

500 runs with 500 samples

Estimate of PDF

Ingredients of MCS

Methods for generating samples of excitations and system parameters compatible with the prescribed probabilistic models

• Test statistically if the generated samples indeed obey the pre scribed probabilistic laws.

• A computational model for the system dynamics which accepts samples of inputs and system paramters produced above and generates an ensemble of response quantities. • Statistical processing of ensmble of response time histories

and inferences on system behavior

We will begin with a review of elements of statistical methods

Statistics

.

- (a) Data (used in plural) (birth, death, marraige).
- (b) Science of statistics (used in singular).
- (c) Statistic: a random variable; statistics: a set of random variables. (It is in this se nse that we use the word in the present course).

Average: a single number that describes data.

A material is described by its density, viscosit y, stiffness, stren gth etc.

In the same sense there exist different measures to describe datae.g., arithme tic mean, geometric mean, mode, median, percentile, range, minimum, maximum, variance, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis, histogram, cumulative frequenc y distribution, correlation, etc.

Population

Campus with 5000 persons. Height X_1 X_2 \cdots X_{5000} Weight Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_{5000}
Income I_1 I_2 \cdots I_{5000} specs? $Y \quad N \quad \cdots \quad Y$ gender $M \tF \t... \tF$ In statistics each of this is a **population**. That is, population of heights, population of weights, etc.

Population (Universe)

is a collection of all possible observations on a particular characteristic with respect to the problem on hand. -starting point in statistics -analogous to sample space in probabilit y. Any collection of measurements capable of being described by a random variable cons titutes a popul ation.

Sample

In practice it is not possible to study the entire population. **Sample** is a part of the population which we want to study and draw conclusions about property of population. -it is not enough to say that sample is a subset of population; the subset needs to be representative. **Sampling**: Procedure of drawing samples. **Sampling design**: development of sampling procedures to meet a requirement.

Random sample

Let *X* be a random variable with pdf $p_X(x)$.

 $\bigl\{X_i\bigr\}_{i=1}^n$ common pdf $p_{_X}\!\left(x\right)$. Let $\{X_i\}$ be a set of iid random variables with *n i i* $X_i \big|_{i=1}^n$

 $\bigl\{X_i\bigr\}_{i=1}^n$ The set of random variables $\{X_i\}^n$ is called a random sample of size *n* of X . *n i i* $X_i \big|_{i=1}^n$

Consider the real valued function $S(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$.

This function is called a statistic. It is a random variable.

Let the pdf $p_{x}(x)$ be of the form $p_{y}(x;\theta)$ where θ = unknown parameter.

The joint pdf of ${X_i}_{i=1}^n$ is of the form

$$
p_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n}(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n)=\prod_{i=1}^n p_X(x_i;\theta)
$$

 $\left\{x_i\right\}_{i=1}^n$ = values of observed data taken from the random sample.

An estimator of θ is a statistic $S(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$

denoted as $\Theta = S(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$

 $\left(x_{_{1}},x_{_{2}},\cdots,x_{_{n}}\right)$ 1 \mathcal{N}_1 , \mathcal{N}_2 \mathcal{N}_2 1, \mathbf{v}_2 For a particular set of observations $, X_{2} = x_{2}, \cdots, X_{n} = x_{n}$, the value of the estimator $S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is called as an estimate ˆof θ and is denoted by $\theta.$ Estimator: a random variable Estimate: the realization o f the estimator.*n n n* $X_i = x_i, X_j = x_j, \cdots, X_n = x$ $S(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ $=\mathcal{X}_1, \Lambda_2=\mathcal{X}_2, \cdots, \Lambda_n=$ \cdots

11Estimator: $T = -$ The PDF of T is known as the sampling distribution of T . A realization of T is known as an estimate. The estimator is said to be unbiased if $\langle T \rangle$ = population mean. The estimator is said to be consistent if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \text{Var}(T) \to 0$. *n i iT* = \rightarrow *X* n_{i-} Ξ \sum Estimation : Finding a realization of T as an approximation to a population parameter. $\displaystyle \lim_{t\to\infty} \text{Var}\big(T$ \rightarrow

Estimation of mean

Let X be a random variable with PDF
$$
P_X(x)
$$
, pdf $p_X(x)$,
\nmean μ , and standard deviation σ .
\nLet $\{\overline{X_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ be an iid sequence with common pdf $p_X(x)$.
\nThat is, $X_i \perp X_j \forall i \neq j \in [1, n]$,
\n $\langle X_i \rangle = \mu$, $Var[X_i] = \sigma^2$, $p_{X_i}(x) = p_X(x) \forall i \in [1, n]$.
\nLet $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$ be an estimator of μ .
\nThe estimator is said to be unbiased if $\langle \Theta \rangle = \mu$.
\n $\langle \Theta \rangle = \langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \rangle = \mu \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. $\Rightarrow \mu$
\n $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \Rightarrow \Theta$ is an unbiased estimator of μ .

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1 \Rightarrow \Theta \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } \mu.
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{The above unbiased estimator is not unique.}
$$

\n
$$
\text{Var}(\Theta) = Var \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i (X_i - \mu) \right\}^2 \right\} = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2
$$

\nTo get an unbiased estimator with minimum variance,
\nwe minimize
\n
$$
\underbrace{\text{Var}(\Theta) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2} \text{subject to the constraint } \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1}_{\text{Lagrangian}}.
$$

\n
$$
L = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + \lambda \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - 1 \right\}
$$

Necessary conditions for optima
\n
$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_k} = 0 \Rightarrow 2\sigma^2 a_k + \lambda = 0; k = 1, 2, \dots, n \Rightarrow a_k = -\frac{\lambda}{2\sigma^2}.
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2\sigma^2} \right) = 1
$$
\n
$$
\lambda = -\frac{2\sigma^2}{n} \Rightarrow a_k = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \right) \left(-\frac{2\sigma^2}{n} \right) \Rightarrow a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}}.
$$
\nThe optimal Var(Θ) = $\sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right).$
\nSummary:
\n $\Theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ as an unbiased estimator of μ with minimum variance and the lowest variance is $\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right).$

Maximum likelihood estimation

Let X be a random variable with pdf $p_X(x; \theta)$.

Here θ is a vector of parameters of the distribution. For the moment assume that θ is known.

Let $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ be an iid sequence of rand *i i* $X_i\big\}_{i=1}^n$ with the common pdf given by $p_X(x; \theta)$. om variables

Consider now the function
\n
$$
p_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n; \theta) =
$$
\n
$$
p_{X_1}(x_1; \theta) p_{X_2}(x_2; \theta) \cdots p_{X_n}(x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X_i}(x_i; \theta)
$$
\nFor example, if *X* is exponentially distributed,
\n
$$
p_X(x; \lambda) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x); x \ge 0.
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow p_{X_1X_2\cdots X_n}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n; \theta) =
$$
\n
$$
\lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right); x_i \ge 0 \forall i \in [1, n].
$$

Clearly,
\n
$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{X_i}(x_i; \theta) dx_i =
$$
\n
$$
P(x_1 < X_1 \le x_1 + dx_1 \cap x_2 < X_2 \le x_2 + dx_2 \cap \dots \cap x_n < X_n \le x_n + dx_n).
$$

let us observe a sample $\left\{\left\{x_i\right\}_{i=1}^n\right\}$. We interpret $\prod p_{X_i}(x_i;\theta)dx_i = L(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ 1Let us now consider the case when θ is unknown and as the likelihood of making the observation $\left\{x_i\right\}_{i=1}^n$ *i i ni* $x_i\big\}_{i=1}$ ═ **Maximum likelihood estimation (continued)** $\ddot{}$ It is a function of observed samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and the ervation $\{x_i\}^n$. unknown parameter vector θ . The maximum likelihood estimator of θ is the value of *i ii i* $x_i^{\vphantom{\dagger}}\big\}_{i=1}$ $x_i^{\vphantom{\dagger}}\big\}_{i=1}$ **Definition**

 θ for which $L(\theta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is the maximum.

Example 1
\nLet
$$
X \sim \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)
$$
; $x \ge 0$.
\n
$$
L(\lambda | t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \lambda^n \exp(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n t_i)
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \ln L(\lambda | t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = n \ln \lambda - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n t_i
$$
\nLet $\hat{\lambda}$ maximize this function.
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln L(\lambda | t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{n}{\hat{\lambda}} - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i.
$$
\nRecall $\langle X \rangle \neq \lambda$ and the above estimator is consistent with the unbiased estimator with minimum variance derived earlier.

Example 2
\nLet
$$
X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)
$$
.
\n
$$
L(\mu, \sigma | t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(t_i - \mu}{\sigma})^2\right)\right]
$$
\n
$$
L(\mu, \sigma | t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right]^n \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right].
$$
\n
$$
\ln L(\mu, \sigma | t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) = -n \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - n \ln \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2
$$

$$
\ln L(\mu, \sigma | t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) = -n \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - n \ln \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{t_i - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2
$$

Let $\hat{\mu} \& \hat{\sigma}$ maximize the above function.

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln L(\mu, \sigma | t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) = 0
$$

$$
\& \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \ln L(\mu, \sigma | t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) = 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i
$$

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - \hat{\mu})^2} \swarrow
$$

4912 Let us consider the case in which σ^2 is known. 1Consider the estimator $\Theta = \Theta$ is an unbiased estimator of μ with variance \sim . If X is Gaussian, it w *n i iX* $n_{\overline{i}}$ *n* Θ is an unbiased estimator of μ with variance $\left/ \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \right.$ $\Theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum$ **Sampling distribution for the estimator of mean** ould mean that $(X)_{i=1}^n$ is an iid sequence of Gaussian random variables and consequently would also be Gaussian distributed. If X is not Gaussian, by virtue of central limit theorem, for large *n*, we may still consider Θ to be Gaussian. *i* $X\big\}_{i=1}^n$ It may be infered that $\Theta \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ or, $\frac{\Theta - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1)$. $\Theta \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ or, $\frac{\Theta - \sigma}{\sigma}$ $\Theta \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$