Implementing Object-Oriented Languages - Part 2

Y.N. Srikant

Computer Science and Automation Indian Institute of Science Bangalore 560 012



NPTEL Course on Compiler Design

Outline of the Lecture

- Language requirements
- Mapping names to methods
- Variable name visibility
- Code generation for methods
- Simple optimizations
- Parts of this lecture are based on the book, "Engineering a Compiler", by Keith Cooper and Linda Torczon, Morgan Kaufmann, 2004, sections 6.3.3 and 7.10.

Topics 1,2,3, and, 4 were covered in Part 1 of the lecture.





Implementing Multiple Inheritance 1 |%new_ %new_ class b class a pointer pointer foe . . . fie fum %new class c pointer fee ...



Implementing Multiple Inheritance

object layout
for objects
of class aclass
pointera data
members



object layout for objects of class c	<i>a</i> data members	<i>b</i> data members	c data members
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------



Implementing Multiple Inheritance - Fixed Offset Method

object layout for objects of class c	class pointer	a data members	<i>b</i> data members	c data members
--	------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-------------------

Record the constant offset in the method table along with the methods

Offsets for this example are as follows:

- (c) fee : 0, (a) fie: 0, (b) foe : 8, (b) fum : 8, assuming that instance variables of class a take 8 bytes
- Generated code adds this offset to the receiver's pointer address before invoking the method







Implementing Multiple Inheritance

- Trampoline Functions
- Create trampoline functions for each method of class b
 - A function that increments this (pointer to receiver) by the required offset and then invokes the actual method from b.
 - On return, it decrements the receiver pointer, if it was passed by reference



Implementing Multiple Inheritance

- Trampolines appear to be more expensive than the fixed offset method, but not really so
 - They are used only for calls to methods inherited from b
 - In the other method, offset (possibly 0) was added for all calls
 - Method inlining will make it better than option 1, since the offset is a constant
- Finally, a duplicate class pointer (pointing to class c) may need to be inserted just before instance variables of b (for convenience)



Fast Type Inclusion Tests – The need

If class Y is a subclass of class X

- X a = new Y(); //a is of type base class of Y, okay // other code omitted
 - Y b = a; // a holds a value of type Y
- □ The above assignment is valid, but the following is not
- $\Box \quad X a = new X();$

// other code omitted

Y b = a; // a holds a value of type X

Runtime type checking to verify the above is needed

 Java has an explicit instanceof test that requires a runtime type checking



Fast Type Inclusion Tests – Searching the Class Hierarchy Graph

- Store the class hierarchy graph in memory
- Search and check if one node is an ancestor of another
- Traversal is straight forward to implement only for single inheritance
- Cumbersome and slow for multiple inheritance
- Execution time increases with depth of class hierarchy



Class Hierarchy Graph - Example





Fast Type Inclusion Tests – Binary Matrix



Tests are efficient, but Matrix will be large in practice. The matrix can be compacted, but this increases access time. This can handle multiple inheritance also.



BM [Ci, Cj] = 1, iff Ci is a subclass of Cj

Y.N. Srikant

Relative (Schubert's) Numbering

{ I_a , r_a } for a node a : r_a is the ordinal number of the node a in a postorder traversal of the tree. Let $\underline{\blacktriangleleft}$ denote "subtype of" relation. All descendants of a node are subtypes of that node. $\underline{\blacktriangleleft}$ is reflexive and transitive. $I_a = \min \{ r_p \mid p \text{ is a descendant}$ of a }. Now, a $\underline{\blacktriangleleft}$ b, iff $I_b \leq r_a \leq r_b$

This test is very fast and is O(1). Works only for single inheritance. Extensions to handle multiple inheritance are complex.





Devirtualization – Class Hierarchy Analysis

- Reduces the overhead of virtual method invocation
- Statically determines which virtual method calls resolve to a single method
- Such calls are either inlined or replaced by static calls
- Builds a class hierarchy and a call graph









