

Lecture 38

Measure and Integration

1. K Rana

5/5/11

ν, μ on (X, Σ)

$\nu \ll \mu$, ν is finite

To show $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$\mu(E) < \delta \implies \nu(E) < \epsilon$$

Pf Suppose not. Then ~~then~~

$\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $\forall \delta, \exists E \in \Sigma$

s.t. $\mu(E) < \delta$ but $\nu(E) \geq \epsilon$

Apply this for $\delta = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

$\forall n, \exists$ a set $E_n \in \mathcal{E}$ s.t. \checkmark

$$\mu(E_n) < \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ but } \nu(E_n) \geq \varepsilon$$

Define

$$A_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k, \quad A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Note.

$$\mu(A_n) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$$

and $A_n \downarrow A$. Thus, ν finite

$$= \nu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(A_n) .$$

And

$$\nu(A_n) \geq \nu(E_n) \geq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \nu(A) \geq \varepsilon .$$

But

$$\mu(A_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(A_n) \quad \forall n$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \quad \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(A) = 0 \quad \text{⊗}$$

Convex $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$

s.t. $\mu(E) < \delta \Rightarrow \nu(E) < \varepsilon //$

Claim $\nu \ll \mu?$

Let $\mu(E) = 0$. Then $\forall \varepsilon, \forall \delta$

$$\mu(E) = 0 < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \nu(E) < \varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\nu(E) = 0.}$$

Assume $F: \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow[\text{not cont}]{\text{m.c.}} \mathbb{R}$

$$\mu_F(a, b] := F(b) - F(a)$$

Let $\mu_F \ll \lambda$. To show $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

is absolutely continuous on

every interval $(a, b]$?

To show $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$

Such that $[a_i, b_i]$ are finite disjoint

intervals in $(a, b]$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n |F(b_i) - F(a_i)| < \epsilon?$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given

Since $\mu_F \ll \lambda$,

$\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall E \in \mathcal{B}_{[a,b]}$

$$\lambda(E) < \delta \Rightarrow \mu_F(E) < \epsilon.$$

In particular, if

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \lambda(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_F(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n (F(b_i) - F(a_i)) < \varepsilon$$

Hence $F: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

is absolutely continuous.

~~Assume~~ $\mu_F \ll \lambda$
~~To show~~

Assume $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
is absolutely continuous.

To show $\mu_F \ll \lambda$?

Let $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\lambda(E) = 0$.

To show $\mu_F(E) = 0$?

Enough to show that

$$\mu_F(E \cap [a, b]) = 0$$

for interval $[a, b]$.

Since $\lambda(E \cap [a, b]) = 0$.

* We can find, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$,
intervals $(a_n, b_n]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$
such that

$$E \cap [a, b] \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n]$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n) < \varepsilon$$

Now let $\alpha > 0$. Then

by absolute continuity

of F , $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$\sum_{n=1}^k$ whenever intervals $[a_n, b_n]$
are disjoint in $[a, b]$, & with

$$\sum_{n=1}^k (b_n - a_n) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k F(b_n) - F(a_n) < \alpha$$

By (*) with $\epsilon = \delta$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^k (F(b_n) - F(a_n)) < \alpha$$

$\forall k$

∞

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [F(b_n) - F(a_n)] < \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_F(E \cap [a, b])$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_F([a_n, b_n])$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [F(b_n) - F(a_n)] < \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_F(E \cap [a, b]) = 0$$

Hence $\lambda(E) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_F(E \cap [a, b]) = 0$$

$\forall a, b$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_F(E) = 0$$

Hence $\mu_F \ll \lambda$.

